This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,175	11/09/2001	James R. Doran	END920010073US1	6793
7590 08/20/2004		EXAMINER		
John R. Pivnichny, Ph.D.			TRUONG, LECHI	
IBM Corporation	on, N50/040-4			
1701 North Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Endicott, NY	13760		2126	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A C O	10/037,175	JAMES R. DORAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
_	LeChi Truong	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum days after the	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 November 2001.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Ti	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allocal conditions.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		-
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority documen	ents have been received. The sents have been received in Appropriate to the sent service of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. of first sentence of the specific	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domi		
reference was included in the first sentence of	of the specification or in an Ap	oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US. Patent 6,748,386 B1) in view of Gupta et al (US. Patent 5,826,258).
- 3. As to claim 1, Li teaches the application substantially as claimed including: a data store having a plurality of directory entries (DBMSs 70/78, col 6, ln 47-50/ Fig. 2B), a server server (the application server 52, col 6, ln 47-50), a API couple to said data stored (common database connectivity API 76, Fig. 2 B/ col 6, ln 47-50), a receiving a directory entry (col 7, ln 50-53), a wrapper (a wrapper servlet the name of the original server, col 6, ln 30-37/ wrapper JDBC 78, col 6, ln 60-67/ fig. 2B) user application (a user application, col 3, ln 46-50), a wrapper coupled to said API for accepting request from a user interface (col 3, ln 46-67/ col 6, ln 64-67/ col 3, ln 52-67).
- 4. Li does not explicit teach request as a query which is sent to a wrapper. However, Gupta teaches sending query to wrapper (query is sent through wrapper, col 9,l n 7-12).

Art Unit: 2126

5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Li and Gupta because Gupta's query would retrieves information from the databases.

- 6. As to claim 4, Li teaches a plurality of API coupled to said data store, each API adapted to send said query to said data store and receive one of said plurality of directory entries (the API of DBMS 70 and external information source 74, Fig. 3/ col 6, ln 55-67 col 6, ln 42-50).
- 7. As to claim 5, Li teaches a plurality of wrapper each said wrapper coupled to one or more of said API (a wrapper servlet the name of the original server, col 6, ln 30-37/ wrapper JDBC 78, col 6, ln 60-67/ fig. 2B).
- 8. As to claim 7, Li teaches API is adapted to receive one of said plurality of directory entries from said data store and send said one of the directory entries to said user application (col 7, ln 17-24).
- 9. As to claim 9, Li teaches API is adapted to received said one of said plurality of directory entries in response to said query (col 7, ln 17-20).
- 10. As to claim 10, it is an apparatus claim of claims 1 and 7; therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 and 7 above.
- 11. As to claims 13, 15, 16, they are apparatus claims of claims 4, 5,17; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4, 5, 17 above.
- 12. As to claim 18, it is an apparatus claim of claim 10; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 10 above.

Art Unit: 2126

- 13. As to claim 20, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 10; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 10 above. In additional, Li teaches program instruction (programs, col 3, ln 52-54).
- 14. Claims 2, 3, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US. Patent 6,748,386 B1) in view of Gupta et al (US. Patent 5,826,258) further in view of Kumar et al (US. Patent 6,343,287 B1).
- 15. As to claim 2,3, Li and Gupta do not teach a relational database, an LDAP data store. However, Kumar teaches a relational database, LDAP (relational database, LDAP, Fig. 3).
- 16. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Li, Gupta and Kumar because Kumar's relational database and LDAP would allow user to initiate a search for the requesting from the user.
- 17. As to claims 11, 12, they are apparatus claims of claims 2, 3; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 2, 3 above.
- 18. Claims 6, 14, 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US. Patent 6,748,386 B1) in view of Gupta et al (US. Patent 5,826,258) and further in view of Coden et al (US. Patent 5,873,080).
- 19. As to claim 6, Li and Gupta do not teach an API locator on said web server for selecting one of said plurality of API in response to said query. However, Coden teaches an API locator on

Art Unit: 2126

said web server for selecting one of said plurality of API in response to said query (each query object (112-117) contained in the combined query 110 is directed by the query interface 130 to one ore more engineers by calls to that engine's application programming interface (API) (152, 154, 156) respectively, col 6, ln 46-51/ ln 60-66).

- 20. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Li, Gupta and Coden because Coden's each query object (112-117) contained in the combined query 110 is directed by the query interface 130 to one ore more engineers by calls to that engine's application programming interface (API) (152, 154, 156) respectively would find relevant documents need to be able to specify conditions on the content.
- 21. As to claims 14, 19, they are apparatus claim of claim 6; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 6 above.
- 22. Claims **8, 17, 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US. Patent 6,748,386 B1) in view of Gupta et al (US. Patent 5,826,258) and further in view of Madnick et al (US. 6,282,537 B1).
- 23. As to claim 8, Li and Gupta do not teach send said one of said directory entries to said user application through said wrapper. However, Madnick teaches send said one of said directory entries to said user application through said wrapper (the results from the semi-structured data sources distributed over the network are returned to the wrapper generator 614, col 15, ln 1-6).
- 24. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Li, Gupta and Madnick because Madnick's the results

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/037,175

Art Unit: 2126

from the semi-structured data sources distributed over the network are returned to the wrapper generator 614 would provide to the user with a complete response to the query.

25. As to claims 17, 21, they are apparatus claim of claim 8; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 8 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (703) 305 5312. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

August 17, 2004

MENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER